## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA FORT WAYNE DIVISION

MANUEL MIRELES III,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) No. 1:10 CV 221
BLACKFORD COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)

## **OPINION and ORDER**

Manuel Mireles III, a *pro se* prisoner, filed an amended complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (DE # 7.) Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the court must review a prisoner complaint and dismiss it if the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. Courts apply the same standard under Section 1915A as when deciding a motion under FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(b)(6). *Lagerstrom v. Kingston*, 463 F.3d 621, 624 (7th Cir. 2006). To survive dismissal, a complaint must state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face. *Bissessur v. Ind. Univ. Bd. of Trs.*, 581 F.3d 599, 602-03 (7th Cir. 2009). In determining whether the complaint states a claim, the court must bear in mind that "[a] document filed *pro se* is to be liberally construed, and a *pro se* complaint, however inartfully pleaded, must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007).

To state claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege: "(1) that defendants deprived him of a federal constitutional right; and (2) that the defendants acted under

color of state law." Savory v. Lyons, 469 F.3d 667, 670 (7th Cir. 2006). Here, Mireles

alleges that on March 19, 2010, he appeared before a judge in Blackford County

Superior Court, who set bail in the amount of \$10,000 for a felony theft charge pending

against him. He is suing the Blackford County Prosecutor's Office and two prosecutors

employed by that office, alleging that they violated his right to be free from excessive

bail. He seeks an award of \$750,000 for "pain and suffering." (DE # 7 at 5.)

Prosecutors do not actually set bail, but to the extent Mireles is suing the

prosecutors for their role in arguing the state's position on the matter of bail, they are

immune from suit. "[I]n initiating a prosecution and in presenting the State's case, the

prosecutor is immune from a civil suit for damages under § 1983." Imbler v. Pachtman,

424 U.S. 409, 431 (1976). Accordingly, this suit must be dismissed.

For these reasons, the court **DISMISSES** this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915A.

SO ORDERED.

Date: September 9, 2010

s/ James T. Moody

JUDGE JAMES T. MOODY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT